1 2 3	Warren R. Paboojian, No. 128462 wrp@bplaw-inc.com BARADAT & PABOOJIAN, INC. 720 West Alluvial Avenue Fresno, California 93711	E-FILED 1/16/2018 8:00 AM FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: E. Medina, Deputy	
4	Telephone: (559) 431-5366 Facsimile: (559) 431-1702		
5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs RHONDA WILLIAMS and KATHLEEN KUBALL		
7 8 9	Todd B. Barsotti, No. 148862 tbar@barsotti-law.com TODD B. BARSOTTI, A PROF. LAW CORP. 6780 N. West Ave., Suite 102 Fresno, CA 93711 Telephone: (559) 226-2100 Facsimile: (559) 226-7636		
11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiff SHANNON JEFFERIES		
13			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	COUNTY OF FRESNO		
16	RHONDA WILLIAMS; KATHLEEN KUBALL; and SHANNON JEFFERIES,) Case No. 18CECG00180	
17 18	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINTGender Discrimination - Govt. Code	
19	VS.	§12940(a) 2. Violation of Title IX - 20 U.S.C.	
20	STATE CENTER COMMUNITY COLLEGE) DISTRICT; and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive,	§ 1681 et seq. 3. Injunctive Relief 4. Declaratory Relief	
21	Defendants.)))	
22			
23	Plaintiffs, RHONDA WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN KUBALL and SHANNON JEFFERIES, allege		
24	as follows:		
25	ALLEGATIONS COMMON	N TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION	
26	1. Plaintiffs are informed and believ	e, and thereon allege, that defendant, STATE CENTER	
27	COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT (hereir	nafter referred to as "SCCCD"), is and at all times	
28		rganized and existing under the laws of the State of	

-1-

FILE NO 2101

COMPLAINT

California, authorized to operate community colleges, including Fresno City College and Reedley College.

- 2. SCCCD's principal place of business is in Fresno County. Fresno City College and Reedley College are in Fresno County. Plaintiffs' claims arose in Fresno County.
- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the defendants designated herein as DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to, and predominately caused the damages to plaintiffs as herein alleged.
- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that defendants, and each of them, were and/or are the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining defendants, and were acting in the course and scope of said agency and/or employment in the performance of the acts herein alleged.
- 5. All plaintiffs are, and at all times mentioned herein were, female employees of defendant and entitled under state and federal law to equal protection with all similarly situated employees of SCCCD, specifically including coaches and staff associated with male athletic programs and activities.
- 6. Plaintiff, RHONDA WILLIAMS (hereinafter referred to as "WILLIAMS"), was hired by SCCCD in or about January 1995. Throughout most of her employment with SCCCD and through the present time, WILLIAMS has held the positions of Head Coach for the Fresno City College women's softball team and Assistant Coach for the Fresno City College women's golf team. WILLIAMS has performed her job duties in an exemplary manner throughout her employment with SCCCD and has never been subject to disciplinary action.
- 7. Plaintiff, KATHLEEN KUBALL (hereinafter referred to as "KUBALL"), was hired by SCCCD in or about 1997. Throughout most of her employment with SCCCD and through the present time, KUBALL has held the position of Head Coach for the Reedley College women's softball team. KUBALL has performed her job duties in an exemplary manner throughout her employment with SCCCD and has never been subject to disciplinary action.

-2-

8

11

12

13

10

21

19

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 8. Plaintiff, SHANNON JEFFERIES (hereinafter referred to as "JEFFERIES"), was hired by SCCCD in or about June 1996. Throughout most of her employment with SCCCD and through the present time, JEFFERIES has held the position of Head Coach for the Reedley College women's volleyball team. JEFFERIES has performed her job duties in an exemplary manner throughout her employment with SCCCD and has never been subject to disciplinary action.
- Plaintiffs hold similar positions and perform comparable, and sometimes, more, job duties than their counterparts associated with male athletic programs and activities, but are paid less than their male counterparts because SCCCD assigns more "duty days" to male coaches than to female coaches, including plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that female coaches, including plaintiffs, are assigned fewer "duty days" and receive lower compensation than their male counterparts for no reason other than their gender and/or affiliation with women's athletics.
- SCCCD has a long-standing and pervasive policy, pattern, and practice of gender discrimination and unequal treatment of female coaches, female athletes, and female athletic programs and activities at Fresno City College and Reedley College as compared to male coaches, male athletes. and male athletic programs and activities. SCCCD has consistently throughout the years of plaintiffs' employment, and up to and including the present time, provided male coaches, male athletes, and male athletic programs and activities with better employment conditions and resources than female coaches, female athletes, and female athletic programs and activities, including without limitation, better compensation, treatment, benefits, facilities, equipment, supplies, travel and transportation, scheduling of games and practices, locker rooms, uniforms, funding, publicity, coaching staffs, support staff, and personal trainers.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Gender Discrimination in Violation of Government Code Section 12940(a)

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 10 as if fully set forth herein and 11. further allege as follows:
- At all times herein mentioned, Government Code section 12940, subdivision (a), of the 12. California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA), was in full force and effect and binding upon SCCCD. FEHA prohibits SCCCD from, among other things, discriminating against a person in

-3-

employment or in terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, based on the sex or gender of the employee.

- 13. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiffs were and are employees of SCCCD entitled to the protections of FEHA.
- 14. SCCCD, its agents, administrators, supervisors, and members of the athletic department, violated FEHA as described in paragraphs 11 and 12 above.
- 15. WILLIAMS and KUBALL exhausted their administrative remedies under FEHA by filing claims with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing on December 28, 2017. That agency issued right-to-sue letters on December 28, 2017.
- 16. JEFFERIES exhausted her administrative remedies under FEHA by filing a claim with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing on December 22, 2017. That agency issued a right-to-sue letter on December 29, 2017.
- 17. As a proximate result of SCCCD's violation of Government Code section 12940, subdivision (a) and discrimination against plaintiffs, plaintiffs have in the past and will in the future suffer substantial losses and earnings and other employment benefits, all to plaintiffs' damage in sums according to proof.
- 18. As a proximate result of SCCCD's willful, knowing, and intentional discrimination against plaintiffs, plaintiffs have in the past and will in the future suffer humiliation, emotional distress, mental and physical pain and anguish, all to plaintiffs' damage in sums according to proof.
- 19. As a further direct and legal result of SCCCD's conduct, plaintiffs have been compelled to retain the services of counsel to protect and enforce their rights and therefore, have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees, legal fees, expert fees, and costs, for which plaintiffs are entitled to reimbursement in an amount to be established at the time of trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Gender Discrimination in Violation of Title IX

of the Education Amendment of 1972 (20 U.S.C., § 1681 et seq.)

20. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 as if fully set forth herein and further allege as follows:

- 21. Title IX of the Education Amendment of 1972, 20 U.S.C., § 1681 et seq. provides, in relevant part, that "[n]o person ... shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." Title IX also prohibits employment discrimination on the basis of sex at educational institutions receiving federal assistance.
- 22. At all times mentioned herein, SCCCD and its community colleges, including Fresno City College and Reedley College, have and continue to receive federal financial assistance and benefits, and therefore, all programs and activities at Fresno City College and Reedley College, including athletics, are subject to the requirements of Title IX.
- 23. The regulations interpreting Title IX are codified at 34 C.F.R., Part 106. With regard to athletic programs, 34 C.F.R. § 106.42(a) provides that intercollegiate athletics are included within the "program or activity" requirement of Title IX: "No person shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, be treated differently from another person or otherwise be discriminated against in any interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics offered by a recipient, and no recipient shall provide any such athletics separately on such basis."
- 24. 34 C.F.R. § 106.42, subdivision (c), specifies the factors that are to be considered in the determination of equal athletic opportunity, including without limitation: the provision of equipment and supplies; scheduling of games and practice time; travel and per diem allowance; opportunity to receive coaching and academic tutoring; assignment and compensation of coaches; provision of locker rooms, practice and competitive facilities; provision of medical and training facilities and services; provision of housing and dining facilities and services; and publicity.
- 25. SCCCD has violated Title IX by discriminating against female coaches, female athletes, and female athletic programs and activities, including plaintiffs, by, among other things, providing male coaches, male athletes, and male athletic programs and activities with better employment conditions and resources than female coaches, female athletes, and female athletic programs and activities, including without limitation, better compensation, treatment, benefits, facilities, equipment, supplies, scheduling of games and practices, locker rooms, uniforms, funding, publicity, coaching staffs, support staff, and personal trainers.

-5-

26. 34 C.F.R. § 106.3, subdivision (a), requires that sponsors of intercollegiate and/or other school-sponsored athletics take such remedial actions as are necessary to overcome the effects of gender discrimination in violation of Title IX. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that SCCCD has not taken appropriate remedial and enforcement actions to insure equal treatment and benefits for its female coaches, female athletes, and female athletic programs and activities as compared to its male counterparts, and that any remedial actions which SCCCD has taken since the passage of Title IX have been insufficient to satisfy SCCCD's obligations under Title IX.

- 27. As a proximate result of SCCCD's violations of Title IX, plaintiffs have in the past and will in the future suffer substantial losses and earnings and other employment benefits.
- 28. As a further proximate result of SCCCD's violations of Title IX, female athletes and female athletic programs at Fresno City College and Reedley College have in the past and will in the future endure unequal treatment and benefits as compared to male athletes and male athletic programs.
- 29. As a further direct and legal result of SCCCD's violations of Title IX, plaintiffs have been compelled to retain the services of counsel to protect and enforce their rights and therefore, have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees, legal fees, expert fees, and costs, for which plaintiffs are entitled to reimbursement in an amount to be established at the time of trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Injunctive Relief

- 30. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 as if fully set forth herein and further allege as follows:
- 31. Plaintiffs are entitled to injunctive relief to end SCCCD's unequal, discriminatory, and unlawful treatment of female coaches, including plaintiffs, female athletes, and female athletic programs and activities. Because of SCCCD's acts and omissions, female coaches, including plaintiffs, and female athletes at Fresno City College and Reedley College have been deprived of the rights guaranteed to them under federal law. Failure to grant injunctive relief will result in irreparable harm to female coaches, including plaintiffs, and female athletes at Fresno City College and Reedley College in that they will never be able to coach and participate in intercollegiate and/or other school-sponsored athletics on an equal basis with their male counterparts. Accordingly, female coaches, including plaintiffs, and female

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
7	o

2

athletes at Fresno City College and Reedley College do not have an adequate remedy at law for this harm. The irreparable harm to female coaches, including plaintiffs, and female athletes far outweighs any possible harm that granting injunctive relief might cause SCCCD. Injunctive relief would not disserve the public interest, but rather, would prevent discrimination based on gender and would promote the goal of full equality before the law.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Declaratory Relief

- 32. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 31 as if fully set forth herein and further allege as follows:
- 33. A present and actual controversy exists between plaintiffs and SCCCD concerning their rights and respective duties. Plaintiffs contend that SCCCD has violated their rights, and the rights of those similarly situated, including without limitation, female coaches and female athletes at Fresno City College and Reedley College, under federal and state anti-discrimination laws. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that SCCCD denies these allegations. Declaratory relief is therefore necessary and appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendant, STATE CENTER COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, as follows:

- 1. For general damages according to proof;
- 2. For special damages according to proof;
- 3. For an order declaring that SCCCD has engaged in a past and continuing pattern and practice of discrimination against female coaches, female athletes, and female athletic programs and activities on the basis of gender in violation of Title IX and the regulations promulgated thereunder (including unequal treatment and benefits);
- 4. For the issuance of a permanent injunction (a) restraining SCCCD and its Board of Trustees, officers, agents, employees, successors, and any other persons acting in concert with them, from continuing to maintain practices and policies of discrimination against female coaches and female athletes on the basis of gender, and (b) requiring SCCCD, immediately upon issuance of the injunctive order, to remediate its violations of Title IX by, among other things, providing female coaches, female athletes,

. 1	and female athletic programs and activities with treatment and benefits comparable to those provided to
2	male coaches, male athletes, and male athletic programs and activities;
3	5. For an award of attorneys' fees;
4	6. For costs of suit incurred herein;
5	7. For an award of interest, including pre-judgment interest, at the legal rate;
6	8. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.
7	Dated: January 11, 2018 BARADAT & JABOOJIAN, INC.
8	1/1/na-1
9	By: Warren R. Paboojian
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs RHONDA WILLIAMS and
11	KATHLEEN KUBALL
12	Dated: January 2, 2018 TODI B. BARSOTTI
13	A PROF. LAW CORP.
14	Todd B. Barsotti
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff SHANNON JEFFERIES
16	SIMINION LET EXIES
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
BARADAT & PABOOJIAN 720 West Alluvial Avenue	
Fresno, CA 93711	COMPLAINT -8- FILE NO. 2101